**YAKIMA VALLEY LIBRARIES**

**ADDENDUM #3**

**Project Name:** RFP-26-001 2026 Mobile Application
**Date of Issuance:** October 16, 2026

## PART 1: PURPOSE OF THIS ADDENDUM

The purpose of this Addendum #3 is to clarify specific vendor inquiries. All other terms, conditions, and specifications of the original RFP and addenda remain unchanged.

## PART 2: VENDOR QUESTIONS & OFFICIAL RESPONSES

**Question 1:** US-based delivery partner: Would YVL consider a delivery model in which our US-based partner performs all development, engineering, data processing and support activities covered by Question 52, while the vendor retains the permitted prime-contractor or technology coordination responsibilities, subject to YVL's approval and applicable requirements?

**YVL Response:** All project-related work must be performed physically within the United States, in compliance with the RFP and addenda. YVL will evaluate proposals based on compliance with the RFP and addenda.

**Question 2:** Permitted responsibilities: If such an arrangement is acceptable, could you clarify whether Alobha's India-based team may participate in non-development activities, such as project coordination, business analysis, requirements documentation, planning and stakeholder meetings, or whether all project-related work must be performed physically within the United States?

**YVL Response:** All project-related work must be performed physically within the United States, in compliance with the RFP and addenda. YVL will evaluate proposals based on compliance with the RFP and addenda.

**Question 3:** Partner documentation: What documentation would YVL require to evaluate this arrangement—for example, the partner's company details, delivery-team locations, personnel information, subcontracting agreement or a description of the division of responsibilities?

**YVL Response:** Vendors proposing a partner-led or subcontracted delivery model should clearly identify the proposed partner, the division of responsibilities, the locations where project work will be performed, and the proposed project team.

**Question 4:** Proposal and acknowledgement: Should we submit our final proposal with the proposed partner-led delivery model for your review, and should we wait for your written clarification before signing and returning the acknowledgement associated with Addendum #2?

**YVL Response:** Vendors should submit their proposals in accordance with the RFP schedule and instructions, clearly documenting any proposed partner-led delivery model and demonstrating compliance with all RFP requirements. Vendors must acknowledge and sign the addenda. Submission of a proposal does not constitute approval of a proposed delivery arrangement, and all proposals remain subject to YVL's evaluation.

**Question 5:** For the initial launch, is YVL primarily focused on establishing the core experience around Polaris, patron accounts, catalog, holds and digital resources, with the optional modules being introduced progressively?

**YVL Response:** Evaluation under RFP-26-001 will encompass the base software and any proposed optional modules. YVL retains sole discretion over whether to implement, defer, or omit optional modules at the initial kickoff, or to pursue them at a future date.

**Question 6:** Given the number of third-party resources involved, would YVL prefer the vendor to establish a central integration layer, or would you prefer the application to connect directly with the respective systems wherever APIs permit?

**YVL Response:** YVL prefers that the mobile application use the Polaris API as the primary backend/API integration where functionality is available. Vendors should leverage existing APIs and integrations where appropriate rather than creating a new backend layer unless necessary to meet the RFP requirements. Vendors should identify any additional backend services or APIs they propose and explain the need for them.

**Question 7:** I also wanted to clarify the delivery model. I noticed the requirements around US-based project personnel and data residency in the addenda. Is YVL looking strictly for a fully US-based delivery team, or is there any flexibility for an offshore/hybrid team to be involved where the stated requirements can still be met?

**YVL Response:** All project-related work must be performed physically within the United States, in compliance with the RFP and addenda. YVL will evaluate proposals based on compliance with the RFP and addenda.

**Question 8:** Addendum 2 includes the following response to several questions: "Vendors should clearly identify in their proposal the functionality their app will provide and the proposed patron experience, including the specific capabilities supported by their solution." However, in the Feature Response Matrix, it only provides space to explain if the answer is a "No". Would it be acceptable to use the "please explain" column to further clarify both "Yes" and "No" answers where appropriate?

**Section 1: Base Application**

| No. | Feature | Yes | No | If no, please explain |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1.1 | YVL-branded mobile application for the library district, including configurable branding with logo, fonts, and colors | | | |

**YVL Response:** Yes. Vendors may use the "Please Explain" column to clarify both "Yes" and "No" responses where appropriate.

**Question 9:** Can you please clarify what you are looking for with this item: 3.6 Content approval/workflow tools.

**YVL Response:** This item refers to tools or functionality that support the management, review, approval, and publication of application content. Examples may include the ability to create or update content, assign roles or permissions, route content for review and approval, and control when approved content is published.

**PART 3: VENDOR ACKNOWLEDGEMENT (REQUIRED)**

Bidders must sign and include a copy of this Addendum #2 within their final proposal package. Failure to acknowledge receipt of this addendum may result in proposal rejection.

**Authorized Vendor Signature: _____**

**Company Name: _____**

**Date: _____**